



G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM (GNR-18)

Department: DECE	Academic Year: 2021-22
Program Name: M-Tech	Year/Semester: I-II Sem
Name of the Student: A. Lavanya	Roll Number: 212510B106

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?

Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Need focus to have better internships & placement opportunities

Date:

07/2/22

Signature of Student



STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM (GNR-18)

Department: DECE	Academic Year: 2021 - 22
Program Name: M.Tech	Year/Semester: I - II Sem
Name of the Student: A. Manasa	Roll Number: 2105103103

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

- 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Internal marks weightage can be increased.

Date: 07/02/2022

AL
Signature of Student

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : ECE
Program Name : M-Tech
Name of the Student : Nidhi Jaiswal
Academic Year : 2021-2022
Year/Semester : Ist year, 2nd semester
Roll Number : 212510B101

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Need focus on Internships & Placement

Nidhi Jaiswal
Signature

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

05/12/2021

Department : ECE
Program Name : M.Tech [DECE]
Name of the Student : S. hema latha
Academic Year : 20 21-22
Year/Semester : II - I
Roll Number : 20251DB108

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

• Need focus on internship and placement opportunities

S. hema latha
Signature

Curriculum Student Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : ECE 05/12/2021
Program Name : M.Tech (DECE)
Name of the Student : V. Navya
Academic Year : 2021-2022
Year/Semester : II-I
Roll Number : 20251DB110

1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by industry/current global scenarios.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the courses.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned for the course.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering LAB components.
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor
10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, Open elective, project etc.?
 (a) Excellent (b) Very Good (c) Good (d) Average (e) Poor

Any other Suggestions (please specify)

Internal marks weightage should be increased

V. Navya
Signature

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : ECE
Program Name : M.Tech
Name of the Faculty : M. Madheeri Latha
Academic Year : 2021 - 22
Designation : Asst. Prof.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Research based subject like AI/ML, IOT,
LPVLSI, MIMO systems will give scope for students
to do good projects

Date: 17/1/22

madheeri
Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback (GNR-18)

Department : ECE
Program Name : M. Tech
Name of the Faculty : Dr. C. Padmaja
Academic Year : 2021-2022
Designation : Asst - Prof.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.

a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Syllabus should be made more Industry Specific.

Date: 14/03/2022


Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : ECE
Program Name : M Tech
Name of the Faculty : V. Shankar
Academic Year : 2021-22
Designation : Asst. professor

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Research Based subjects like AISML, IOT, LPVLSI,
MIMO system will give scope students to do good projects

Date: 13.4.22


Signature of Faculty

G. Narayanamma Institute of Technology and Science (For Women)
Curriculum Faculty Feedback(GNR-18)

Department : ECE
Program Name : M.Tech (DECE)
Name of the Faculty : P. Chandrasekhar
Academic Year : 2021-22
Designation : Assistant Professor


Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus/to improve quality of the programme.

1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus for the Program in relation to the competencies expected by industry/Current global scenarios.
 a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units/modules in the course. (Taught by you).
 a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
5. Rate the distribution of credits in the Program.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of text books and reference books mentioned in the syllabus.
 a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.
 a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the project.
a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.
 a) Excellent b) Very Good c) Good d) Average e) Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Research based subjects like AI & ML, IoT, LPVLSI,
MIMO systems will give scope for students to do good projects

Date: 13/02/2022


Signature of Faculty



G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM (GNR-18)

Name of the Alumni: <u>Gaseemally Salithi</u>	
Father's Name: <u>Venkatarama Rao</u>	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): <u>11/09/96</u>	
Year of Passing: <u>2018</u>	Department: <u>DECE</u>
Permanent Address: <u>Madrivulakal</u>	
Email ID: <u>salithi99c@gmail.com</u>	Mobile No: <u>996666003</u>
Present Organization:	Type: <input type="checkbox"/> Self Employed <input type="checkbox"/> Public Sector <input type="checkbox"/> Academics <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others <u>private</u>
	Name: <u>Aptiv</u>
Designation: <u>software Engineer</u>	Present Location:
Field of working: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Core <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-Disciplinary <input type="checkbox"/> IT Industry <input type="checkbox"/> Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Higher Education	<input type="checkbox"/> Master's Degree <input type="checkbox"/> Ph.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Other
	Year of admission: _____ Name of the Programme: _____
	Name of the Institute: _____

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

- Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Internships and Placement Opportunities to be improved by collaborating with industries

Date: 10/12/22

[Signature]
Signature of Alumni



G. NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE

(FOR WOMEN)

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM (GNR-18)

Name of the Alumni: <u>Neesa Sri Ashwarya</u>	
Father's Name: <u>Mulla Shanmukhaiah</u>	
DOB(DD/MM/YYYY): <u>02-09-1996</u>	
Year of Passing: <u>2017</u>	Department: <u>DECE</u>
Permanent Address: <u>34, Arampeta, Tenali, Suddabadi</u>	
Email ID: <u>srisashwarya.mulla@gmail.com</u> Mobile No: <u>979949249</u>	
Present Organization:	Type: <input type="checkbox"/> Self Employed <input type="checkbox"/> Public Sector <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Academics <input type="checkbox"/> Others _____
	Name: <u>Infosys</u>
Designation: <u>Asst. Associate consultant</u>	Present Location: <u>HYD</u>
Field of working: <input type="checkbox"/> Core <input type="checkbox"/> Inter-Disciplinary <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IT Industry <input type="checkbox"/> Administration <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	
Higher Education	<input type="checkbox"/> Master's Degree <input type="checkbox"/> Ph.D. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
	Year of admission: _____ Name of the Programme: _____
	Name of the Institute: _____

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the programme.

- Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academia and industry.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges/requirements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the institute's laboratory and equipment adequateness for practical exposure.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor
- Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.
 Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor

Any other suggestion(s):

Syllabus need to include with Advanced topics related to research

Date: 10-12-22

[Signature]
Signature of Alumni



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(Autonomous)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

Curriculum _ Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

(M.Tech) Academic Year: 2021-22

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 03/03/2022.

Name: G. Muralidhar

Name of the Organization/Institution BSNL

Designation: Divisional Engineer

Higher Education: M.Tech

Experience: 20 years.

Mail ID: mdganta@rediffmail.com

Phone No: 9490000185

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.


a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

students need to have practical hardware and -
coding knowledge in doing projects in the
area IOT, VLSI, and communications.

Signature: 



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(AUTONOMOUS)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad – 500104

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
M.Tech Curriculum Employer Feedback (for GNR-18 Curriculum)
Academic Year: 2021-2022

Dt: 10/08/2022.

Name: G. Srinidhi

Name of the Organization/Institution L E T Tech Services, Mysore, KS

Designation: Engineer.

Higher Education: —

Experience: 5 yrs.

Mail ID: srinidhi.gorityala@lts.com

Phone No: 949096652

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions: Syllabus need to include programming subjects & labs.

Srinidhi
Signature: 10/08/2022



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(Autonomous)
Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104
Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

[M.Tech] Academic Year: 2021-22

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 16-04-2022

Name: G. Bharath Reddy.

Name of the Organization/Institution TCS

Designation: Team lead

Higher Education: B.Tech

Experience: 16 Years.

Mail ID: bharath-gbr@yahoo.com

Phone No: 9866 978973

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions:

Syllabus need to include more programming
- subjects and labs.

G. Bharath Reddy
Signature:



G.NARAYANAMMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE (For Women)
(Autonomous)

Shaikpet, Hyderabad - 500104

Curriculum _Employers Feedback (For GNR-18 Curriculum)

Academic Year: 2021-22 [M.Tech]

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS and COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Date: 05/02/2022

Name: MVNS Havish

Name of the Organization/Institution Xilinx Ind.

Designation: Senior Software Engg.

Higher Education: M.Tech

Experience: 8 year

Mail ID: vnsnhav@xilinx.com

Phone No: 9908579262

1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

2. Rate the Opportunity for developing skills in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

3. Rate the depth of the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

4. Rate the relevance of the course for providing employability.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

5. Rate the worth of syllabus in creating to the needs of industry/society.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

6. Rate the conduciveness of the syllabus for the students' readiness towards recruitment.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

7. Rate the provision of inculcating students' creativity in the curriculum.

a) Excellent b) very good c) good d) Average e) poor

Any other Suggestions: Syllabus need to be include Programming Subjects & lab.

Havish
Signature: